

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 07 2006



401 Castro Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041-2007  
T 650.625.8100  
F 650.625.8110  
[www.sughrue.com](http://www.sughrue.com)

FAX

---

Date March 7, 2006  
To Examiner Brandon S. HOFFMAN  
Of PTO Group Art Unit 2136  
Fax (571) 273-8300  
From Joseph Bach, Reg. No. 37,771  
Subject Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111  
Our Ref CQ10139 Appn No 10/037,560  
Conf No 7101 Inventors Eyal DOTAN  
Pages 9 (including cover sheet)

---

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

In re application of Docket No: CQ10139  
Eyal DOTAN  
Appln. No.: 10/037,560 Group Art Unit: 2136  
Confirmation No.: 7101 Examiner: Brandon S. HOFFMAN  
Filed: January 4, 2002  
For: METHOD OF PROTECTING COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND DATA FROM HOSTILE CODE

PAPER ENTITLED: 1) Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 8 pages

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 07 2006

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: CQ10139

Eyal DOTAN

Appln. No.: 10/037,560

Group Art Unit: 2136

Confirmation No.: 7101

Examiner: Brandon S. HOFFMAN

Filed: January 4, 2002

For: METHOD OF PROTECTING COMPUTER PROGRAMS AND DATA FROM HOSTILE  
CODE

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated December 21, 2005, please consider the remarks  
as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 26 are all the claims pending in the application. All  
of the claims stand rejected as being unpatentable over Campbell (USP 6,839,850) in view of  
Hayman (USP 5,859,966). Applicant respectfully traverses the pending rejection for the reasons  
detailed below.

Preliminary, Applicant notes that Campbell and Hayman relate to two different security  
domains so as to address different network problems. It appears that rather than considering  
what these references would teach an artisan in the art, what is provided is a selective recitations